

A.G. AVETISYAN, V.R. AVINYAN, D.A. GHAZARYAN

A METHOD FOR SOLVING SILVESTER TYPE
PARAMETRIC MATRIX EQUATION

A method for solving Sylvester type parametric matrix equations is proposed. The method is a generalization of Bartels-Stuard's algorithm for solving Sylvester type autonomous matrix equations. The method is based on differential transformations and is called D-analogue of Bartels-Stuard's algorithm. A model example is also presented.

Keywords: matrix equation, nonautonomous matrix, differential transformations, Bartels-Stuard's algorithm, Schure decomposition.

There are many common problems in science and technology which are reduced to the solution of linear autonomous matrix equations. The problems become more complicated when the system is described by nonlinear and/or nonautonomous equations. There are a lot of methods for solving autonomous matrix equations [1-3] but the nonautonomous case is almost not investigated. The efficiency of differential transformations [4] for solving many problems of nonautonomous systems was shown in many investigations by many authors [5,6]. Taking into account the efficiency of using differential transformations for nonautonomous cases, in this paper, differential transformations are applied for solving Sylvester type non-autonomous matrix equations.

Considering the Sylvester parametric matrix equation:

$$A_{m \times m}(t) \cdot X_{m \times n}(t) + X_{m \times n}(t) \cdot B_{n \times n}(t) = C_{m \times n}(t). \quad (1)$$

According to Bartels-Stuard's algorithm [1], the equation (1) is reduced to:

$$U_a(t) \cdot T_a(t) \cdot U_a^T(t) \cdot X(t) + X(t) \cdot U_b(t) \cdot T_b(t) \cdot U_b^T(t) = C(t), \quad (2)$$

where $U_a(t)$ and $U_b(t)$ are unitary matrices [4,5] ($U_a^T(t) = U_a^{-1}(t)$ and $U_b^T(t) = U_b^{-1}(t)$), $T_a(t)$ and $T_b(t)$ are the upper triangular matrices computed as Schure decomposition [1]:

$$T_a(t) = U_a^T(t) \cdot A(t) \cdot U_a(t), \quad (3)$$

$$T_b(t) = U_b^T(t) \cdot B(t) \cdot U_b(t). \quad (4)$$

Taking into account the unitarity of U matrices and multiplying (2) by $U_a^T(t)$ the left side and by $U_b(t)$ the right side (2) becomes:

$$T_a(t) \cdot U_a^T(t) \cdot X(t) U_b(t) + U_a^T(t) \cdot X(t) \cdot U_b(t) \cdot T_b(t) = U_a^T(t) \cdot C(t) U_b(t). \quad (5)$$

Denoted by

$$\tilde{C}(t) = U_a^T(t) \cdot C(t) \cdot U_b(t), \quad (6)$$

$$Y(t) = U_a^T(t) \cdot X(t) \cdot U_b(t), \quad (7)$$

(5) becomes:

$$T_a(t) \cdot Y(t) + Y(t) \cdot T_b(t) = \tilde{C}(t). \quad (8)$$

The solution of equation (1) can be computed as:

$$X(t) = U_a(t) \cdot Y(t) \cdot U_b^T(t). \quad (9)$$

The differential transformations[4-6] will serve as a main mathematical apparatus for solving this parametric matrix equation, so let us introduce the main expression of differential transformations and some of their important properties:

$$X(K) = \frac{H^K}{K!} \cdot \frac{\partial^K x(t)}{\partial t^K} \Big|_{t=t_v}, \quad K = \overline{0, \infty} \quad \text{---} \quad x(t) = \aleph(t, t_v, H, X(K)), \quad (10)$$

where $X(K)$ is the image (discrete) of the original $X(t)$; H - the known constant (scale coefficient); $\aleph(\cdot)$ - the known function of the variant for reconstruction of the original $X(t)$; t_v - the center of approximation. The left side of the expression is called forward transformations, and the right side - the reverse transformation or reconstruction. Here are some of the properties of differential transformations, which will be used for the future computations.

According to differential transformation algebra, the matrix equation (8) in image space will be [4-6]:

$$\sum_{l=0}^K (T_a(l) \cdot Y(K-l) + Y(l) \cdot T_b(K-l)) = \tilde{C}(K), \quad K = \overline{0, \infty}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$T_a(K) = \sum_{\substack{p=0, q=0, r=0 \\ p+q+r=K}}^{p=K, q=K, r=K} (U_a^T(p) \cdot A(q) \cdot U_a(r)), \quad K = \overline{0, \infty}, \quad (12)$$

$$T_b(K) = \sum_{\substack{p=K, q=K, r=K \\ p=0, q=0, r=0 \\ p+q+r=K}} (U_b^T(p) \cdot B(q) \cdot U_b(r)), K = \overline{0, \infty}, \quad (13)$$

$$\tilde{C}(K) = \sum_{\substack{p=K, q=K, r=K \\ p=0, q=0, r=0 \\ p+q+r=K}} (U_a^T(p) \cdot C(q) \cdot U_b(r)), K = \overline{0, \infty}, \quad (14)$$

are the matrix discrete or images of (3), (4) and (6) accordingly.

From (11) it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_a(0) \cdot Y(K) + Y(K) \cdot T_b(0) = \\ = \tilde{C}(K) - \sum_{l=0}^{K-1} (T_a(K-l) \cdot Y(l) + Y(l) \cdot T_b(K-l)), K = \overline{0, \infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

For each iteration ($K = \overline{0, \infty}$) the elements of Y are computed as:

$$Y_{ij}(K) = \frac{\tilde{C}_{ij}(K) - \left(\sum_{l=i+1}^m T_a(K)_{il} \cdot Y(K)_{lj} + \sum_{f=1}^{j-1} Y(K)_{if} \cdot T_b(K)_{fj} \right)}{T_a(K)_{ii} + T_b(K)_{jj}}, \quad (16)$$

$i = \overline{m, 1}; j = \overline{1, n};$
 $T_a(K)_{ii} + T_b(K)_{jj} \neq 0.$

The $U_a(K)$ and $U_b(K)$ ($K = \overline{0, \infty}$) discretized can be calculated as the Eigen vectors' matrices of $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ previously orthogonalized by D-analogue of the Gramm-Schmidt process [6].

The $X(K)$ discretized can be calculated from the image of (9):

$$X(K) = \sum_{\substack{p=K, q=K, r=K \\ p=0, q=0, r=0 \\ p+q+r=K}} (U_a(p) \cdot Y(q) \cdot U_b^T(r)), K = \overline{0, \infty}. \quad (17)$$

The original $X(t)$ can be reconstructed as the second part of (10).

Example. Now consider the following matrix equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
A(t) \cdot X(t) + X(t) \cdot B(t) &= C(t) = \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} t+1 & 1-t \\ -t & t^2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot X(t) + X(t) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{t} & \frac{1}{t-5} \\ \frac{t}{t^2-t-6} & \frac{t-5}{t^2-t+3} \end{bmatrix} = \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-t^4 + t^3 + 3t^2 + t + 12}{-t^2 + t + 6} & \frac{3t^4 - 15t^3 + 13t^2 - 39t - 15}{t^3 - 6t^2 + 8t - 15} \\ \frac{t^3 + t^2 - t - 6}{t^3 - t^2 - 6t} & \frac{t^6 - 7t^5 + 13t^4 - 16t^3 + 3t^2 + 14t + 3}{t^3 - 6t^2 + 8t - 15} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to (10) the discretized versions of $A(t)$, $B(t)$ and $C(t)$ (at $t_v = 1.5$; $H = 1$;) are as follows:

$$A(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 2.5 & -0.5 \\ -1.5 & 2.25 \end{bmatrix}; A(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}; A(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; A(K) = \{0\}, K \geq 3.$$

$$B(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.66667 & -0.28571 \\ -0.28571 & 0.40000 \end{bmatrix}, B(1) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.44444 & -0.08163 \\ -0.29932 & 0.05333 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.29630 & -0.02332 \\ -0.16845 & -0.13511 \end{bmatrix}, B(3) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.19753 & -0.00666 \\ -0.12118 & 0.05784 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B(4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.13169 & -0.00190 \\ -0.07825 & 0.00518 \end{bmatrix}, B(5) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.08779 & -0.00054 \\ -0.05289 & -0.01819 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B(6) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.05853 & -0.00016 \\ -0.03505 & 0.00832 \end{bmatrix}, B(7) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.03902 & -0.00004 \\ -0.02343 & -0.00041 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B(8) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.02601 & -0.00001 \\ -0.01560 & -0.00244 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$C(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 3.5357 & 6.0714 \\ 0.2381 & -0.0607 \end{bmatrix}, C(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.9660 & 3.1252 \\ -1.1791 & 3.1484 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2796 & -0.4011 \\ -0.2557 & 3.3273 \end{bmatrix}, C(3) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4714 & -0.0238 \\ -0.5478 & 0.9450 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C(4) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3168 & 0.0613 \\ -0.1069 & 0.0637 \end{bmatrix}, C(5) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2105 & -0.0430 \\ -0.2454 & -0.0226 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C(6) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1405 & 0.0018 \\ -0.0469 & -0.0059 \end{bmatrix}, C(7) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0936 & 0.0091 \\ -0.1092 & 0.0089 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C(8) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0624 & -0.0057 \\ -0.0208 & -0.0033 \end{bmatrix}.$$

According to D-analogue of orthogonalization of the Gram-Schmidt process [6], the discretized versions of $U_a(t)$ and $U_b(t)$ are as follows:

$$U_a(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5547 & 0.8321 \\ 0.8321 & 0.5547 \end{bmatrix}, U_a(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2560 & 0.1707 \\ 0.1707 & -0.2560 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U_a(2) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0919 & -0.1182 \\ -0.1182 & 0.0919 \end{bmatrix}, U_a(3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0182 & 0.0646 \\ 0.0646 & -0.0182 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U_a(4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0065 & -0.0280 \\ -0.0280 & -0.0065 \end{bmatrix}, U_a(5) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0099 & 0.0083 \\ 0.0083 & 0.0099 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U_a(6) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0067 & -0.0002 \\ -0.0002 & -0.0067 \end{bmatrix}, U_a(7) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0031 & -0.0020 \\ -0.0020 & 0.0031 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U_a(8) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0009 & 0.0018 \\ 0.0018 & -0.0009 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$U_b(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5372 & -0.8435 \\ 0.8435 & 0.5372 \end{bmatrix}, U_b(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2638 & 0.1680 \\ -0.1680 & 0.2638 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U_b(2) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3869 & -0.1884 \\ 0.1884 & -0.3869 \end{bmatrix}, U_b(3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0470 & -0.1286 \\ 0.1286 & 0.0470 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U_b(4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2653 & 0.2678 \\ -0.2678 & 0.2653 \end{bmatrix}, U_b(5) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3610 & -0.0864 \\ 0.0864 & -0.3610 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U_b(6) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0009 & 0.0018 \\ 0.0018 & -0.0009 \end{bmatrix}, U_b(7) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4630 & 0.4036 \\ -0.4036 & 0.4630 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U_b(8) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5449 & 0.0093 \\ -0.0093 & -0.5449 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $T_a(t)$ and $T_b(t)$ from (12) and (13), the matrix discretized versions are:

$$T_a(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 3.25 & -1 \\ 0 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix}, T_a(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, T_a(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$T_a(K) = \{0\}, K \geq 3.$$

$$T_b(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2180 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.8486 \end{bmatrix}, T_b(1) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2629 & 0.2177 \\ 0 & -0.1282 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_b(2) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2273 & 0.1451 \\ 0 & 0.3885 \end{bmatrix}, T_b(3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1294 & 0.1145 \\ 0 & -0.2691 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_b(4) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0865 & 0.0763 \\ 0 & 0.2234 \end{bmatrix}, T_b(5) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1040 & 0.0523 \\ 0 & -0.0020 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_b(6) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1236 & 0.0349 \\ 0 & -0.0568 \end{bmatrix}, T_b(7) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0277 & 0.0234 \\ 0 & -0.0109 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_b(8) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1402 & 0.0156 \\ 0 & 0.1638 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The $\tilde{C}(K)$ discretized are calculated from (14):

$$\tilde{C}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -3.8304 & -0.3491 \\ 5.8838 & 0.1028 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{C}(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5541 & 1.0568 \\ 5.3401 & 3.4547 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{C}(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 2.2941 & 4.4893 \\ -0.1348 & -0.8432 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{C}(3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1574 & 0.7549 \\ 0.7562 & -1.8087 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{C}(4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.4498 & -2.1484 \\ 0.0357 & 2.1249 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{C}(5) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0573 & 1.7826 \\ -1.7510 & 0.1310 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{C}(6) = \begin{bmatrix} -1.4382 & 0.5874 \\ 1.3535 & -2.5293 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{C}(7) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5134 & -3.0276 \\ 0.7543 & 3.0819 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{C}(8) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5821 & 2.5169 \\ -2.9709 & 0.4562 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The $Y(K)$ discrete is collected from (16):

$$Y(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1170 & -0.0745 \\ 3.4247 & 0.0438 \end{bmatrix}, Y(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0130 & 0.5937 \\ 1.6389 & 1.1373 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y(2) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2067 & 0.3738 \\ -0.3285 & -1.1520 \end{bmatrix}, Y(3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1429 & -0.4917 \\ 0.5400 & -0.7634 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y(4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2514 & 0.0236 \\ -0.2054 & 1.2838 \end{bmatrix}, Y(5) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3745 & 0.3855 \\ -0.5451 & -0.6625 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y(6) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0767 & -0.4476 \\ 0.7900 & -1.0591 \end{bmatrix}, Y(7) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4068 & -0.0459 \\ -0.0120 & 1.9631 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y(8) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4888 & 0.6503 \\ -1.2267 & -0.6442 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The $X(K)$ discretized are calculated from (17):

$$X(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 2.5 \\ 1 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix}, X(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, X(K) = \{0\}, K \geq 2.$$

The original $X(t)$ is reconstructed using differential Taylor's transformation [4-6]:

$$X(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t & t+1 \\ 1 & t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conclusion. The solution of the model example by using the developed D-analogue of Bartels-Stuard's method analytically, satisfies the considered Sylvester type nonautonomous matrix equation.

REFERENCES

1. **Икрамов Х.Д.** Численное решение матричных уравнений / Под ред. Д.К. Фаддеева. - М.: Наука, 1984. - 192с.
2. **Гантмахер Ф.Р.** Теория матриц. - М.: Физматлит, 2010. - 560 с.
3. **Ланкастер П.** Теория матриц. - М.: Наука, 1978. - 280 с.
4. **Симонян С.О., Аветисян А.Г.** Прикладная теория дифференциальных преобразований. - Ереван: Изд-во ГИУА "Чартарагет", 2010. - 364 с.
5. **Бадалян Л.А.** Разработка методов определения псевдообратных нестационарных матриц и автоматизация вычислительных процедур: Автореф. дис. ... к.т.н. - Ереван, 2007. - 21с.
6. **Пухов Г.Е.** Дифференциальные спектры и модели. - Киев: Наукова думка, 1990. - 230 с.

SEUA (POLYTECHNIC). The material is received 20.09.2013.

Ա.Գ. ԱՎԵՏԻՍՅԱՆ, Վ.Ռ. ԱՎԻՆՅԱՆ, Դ.Ա. ԿԱԶԱՐՅԱՆ

ՄԻԼՎԵՍՏՐԻ ՏԻՊԻ ՊԱՐԱՄԵՏՐԱԿԱՆ ՄԱՏՐԻՑԱՅԻՆ ՀԱՎԱՍԱՐՈՒՄՆԵՐԻ
ԼՈՒԾՄԱՆ ԵՂԱՆԱԿ

Առաջարկված է Միլվեստրի տիպի պարամետրական մատրիցային հավասարումների լուծման եղանակ՝ հիմնված Պուխովի դիֆերենցիալ ձևափոխությունների վրա, որը Միլվեստրի տիպի ավտոնոմ մատրիցային հավասարումների լուծման Բարտելս-Ստյուարտի եղանակի ընդհանրացումն է և կոչվում է Բարտելս-Ստյուարտի եղանակի Դ-նմանակ: Ներկայացված է մոդելային օրինակ:

Առանցքային բառեր. մատրիցային հավասարում, ոչ-ավտոնոմ մատրից, դիֆերենցիալ ձևափոխություններ, Բարտելս-Ստյուարտի եղանակ, Շուրի դեկոմպոզիցիա:

Ա.Г. АВЕТИСЯН, В.Р. АВИНЯН, Д.А. КАЗАРЯН

МЕТОД РЕШЕНИЯ ПАРАМЕТРИЧЕСКИХ МАТРИЧНЫХ УРАВНЕНИЙ
ТИПА СИЛЬВЕСТРА

Предложен метод решения параметрических матричных уравнений типа Сильвестра, который является обобщением метода Бартельса-Стьюарта для решения автономных матричных уравнений типа Сильвестра. Метод основан на дифференциальных преобразованиях Пухова и назван Д-аналогом метода Бартельса-Стьюарта. Представлен модельный пример.

Ключевые слова: матричное уравнение, неавтономная матрица, дифференциальные преобразования, метод Бартельса-Стьюарта, декомпозиция Шура.